



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/712,943  | 11/13/2003  | Gary Workman         | 00290P0021US               | 9536                   |
| 32116 7590 07/09/2007<br>WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER<br>500 W. MADISON STREET<br>SUITE 3800<br>CHICAGO, IL 60661 |             |                      |                            |                        |
|   |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>DESAI, ANISH P |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>1771           | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>07/09/2007    | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                               |                               |  |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>10/712,943 | Applicant(s)<br>WORKMAN, GARY |  |
|   | Examiner<br>Anish Desai       | Art Unit<br>1771              |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Anish Desai.

(2) Mr. William McLaughlin.

**Status of Application: Appeal Brief**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 July 2007

**Time:** 4.35P

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 7, 13, 19*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Anish P. Desai  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant's attorney Mr. McLaughlin that claims 7-12 appears to be allowable over prior art of record, however claims 1-6 and 13-21 would have to be cancelled. The Examiner provided reasons as to why claims 1-6 and 13-19 would have to be cancelled, however no agreement was reached between Mr. McLaughlin and the Examiner with respect to cancellation of claims 1-6 and 13-19.

APD